# **Verification Data Report**

### 2010 Census

#### AN OF OA AN ANA DO DO DO DO SEAL AN CHIGAN

## **Reapportionment of Oakland County Board of Commissioners**

Plan Name:	<u>Cooper Amendment 2</u>			
Prepared by:	Jessica Cooper Oakland County Prosecutor			
Submission Date:	05/17/2011			
Validation Date:	05/17/2011			
Validated By:	Ronald Crank Jr. Plat & Boundary Specialist			

### 1. Run "Population Summary Report (Legal)".

a. All districts shall be single-member districts and be as nearly of equal population as is practicable.

Number Districts	Median Population	Low Populated	Dist. #	Deviation	High Populated	Dist. #	Deviation	Total Deviation
25	48,094	46,116	24	-4.11%	49,939	4	3.84%	7.9%
	•	÷		*	5 		-	*

### 2. Run "Check Plan Errors" report.

- a. This Verify Plan tool allows you to check your current plan for errors in contiguity, unassigned, areas, and split features.
  - i. Number of dis-contiguous Districts <u>0</u>
  - ii. Number of unassigned Precincts <u>0</u>

Dis-contiguous	s Districts	
District	Dis-contiguous Yes/No	Precincts involved
1	N	
2	N	
3	N	
4	N	
5	N	
6	N	
7	N	
8	N	
9	N	
10	N	
11	N	
12	N	
13	N	
14	N	
15	N	
16	N	
17	N	
18	N	
19	N	
20	N	
21	N	
22	N	
23	N	
24	N	
25	N	
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		

Unassigned I	Precincts		
Precinct #	CVT Location	Adjacent Districts	Comments
			Connents
•			
	S.	<u>a</u> .	
	~//		
		n S	
		le la	
			VAD.
			* 0//8

# 3. <u>Run "Identify Splits" report, then "Split Geography" and "Split Geography by</u> <u>Geography" reports.</u>

*a.* This Identify Splits tool allows you to create a layer identifying the census data (precincts) which are split by the current District configuration. (*Precincts shall be divided only if necessary to meet the population standard*).

i.	Number of split Precincts	0
----	---------------------------	---

		S	Split Precinct
Comments	Adjacent Districts	CVT Location	Precinct #
		<u> </u>	
	<u>h//a</u>	Q/	
	Uns -	~	
<u>&gt;</u>			
<u>A</u>			
VCS			
$\sim$			

### 4. <u>Run "Compactness Analysis" and "Compact Analysis (Legal)" reports.</u>

- a. All districts shall be as compact and of as nearly square as is practicable, depending on the geography of the county area involved.
- b. Before running any of the above reports; in Analysis Tools select and run
  Compactness Analysis function. (Make sure all districts are checked, and all defined measures are checked. Leave User Defined unchecked.)

District	Polsby Me	ethod	Scwartzb	berg	Ehrenbe	rg	Compact
	Value	As a %	Value	As a %	Value	As a %	Yes/No
1	.40	39.8	.79	79.1	.20	19.8	
2	.61	60.9	.99	98.9	.28	27.8	
3	.41	41.4	.91	90.9	.21	21.3	
4	.52	52.4	.95	94.6	.38	38.5	
5	.29	29.3	.80	80.2	.18	18.5	
6	.67	37.4	1.0	99.6	.31	31.5	
7	.58	58.2	.92	92.4	.34	33.9	
8	.43	42.5	.77	76.6	.21	21.1	
9	.44	44.1	.77	76.6	.29	29.0	
10	.20	20.0	.58	57.9	.13	12.8	
11	.15	14.9	.57	57.0	.13	13.3	
12	.36	35.7	.71	71.3	.27	26.9	
13	.31	31.5	.70	69.9	.24	24.2	
14	.28	28.5	.71	71.0	.28	27.9	
15	.26	25.9	.66	65.8	.31	31.1	
16	.25	24.8	.70	69.9	.17	17.3	
17	.20	19.7	.62	61.6	.19	19.1	
18	.41	40.7	.72	71.9	.24	24.0	
19	.69	68.9	.94	93.8	.37	36.8	
20	.29	28.9	.75	75.3	.23	23.4	
21	.20	20.5	.65	64.6	.22	22.2	
22	.46	45.6	.79	79.5	.28	28.3	
23	.28	28.1	.74	74.4	.17	16.5	
24	.50	50.1	.92	91.5	.25	25.0	
25	.30	30.3	.68	68.2	.27	27.0	
26							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
2010 High	.69	68.9	1.0	99.6	.38	38.5	
2010 Low	.15	14.9	.57	57.0	.13	13.3	
Average	.38	36.8	.77	77.3	.25	24.7	
2000 High	.62	62.5	.99	99.3	.42	41.5	Y
2000 Low	.24	24.0	.61	61.3	.14	13.8	Y
200 Ave	.42	41.53	.81	80.6	.27	27.1	

### 5. Print Map and Identify District Township and City Distribution.

a. No township or part thereof shall be combined with any city of part thereof, for a single district, unless such combination is needed to meet the population standard.

<u>10</u>

i. Number of districts with combined townships and cities

District CV	T Assignment				
District	Complete	Partial	Complete	Partial	Township/City
	Townships	Townships	Cities	Cities	Combination
1	3	1			
2	3	1			
3	1	1			
4	1	1	1		X
5		1		1	X
6	3				
7	1		1		X
8	1		1	1	X
9	1		1	1	X
10			3	1	
11		1	2	1	Х
12				1	
13			1	2	
14				2	
15	1		1	1	X
16		2	1		X
17		2			
18			1	2	
19			1	1	
20			1	2	
21	1		1	1	X
22	1		2	1	Х
23				2	
24			2		
25			1	1	
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
Total					10

### 6. From Printed Map Identify Whole and Split Townships and Cities.

a. Townships, Villages, or Cities shall be divided if necessary to meet the population standard.

Number of whole Townships	16
Number of whole Cities	24
Number of split Townships	5
Number of split Cities	12
Number Township Splits	8
Number of City Splits	12

Township & City Co	mpleteness	Report					
Township	Whole	Split	No. Splits	City	Whole	Split	No. Splits
Holly	Х			Clarkston	Х		
Groveland	Х			Pontiac		Х	2
Brandon	Х	1		Lake Angelus	Х		
Oxford	Х	1		Auburn Hills	Х		
Addison	Х			Rochester Hills		Х	1
Oakland		Х	1	Rochester	Х		
Orion	Х			Тгоу		Х	2
Independence	Х			Bloomfield Hills	Х		
Springfield	Х			Birmingham	Х		
Rose	Х			Sylvan Lake	Х		
Highland	Х			Keego Harbor	Х		
White Lake	Х			Orchard Lake	Х		
Waterford		Х	2	Walled Lake	Х		
Bloomfield		Х	2	Wixom	Х		
West Bloomfield		Х	2	South Lyon	Х		
Commerce	Х			Novi		Х	2
Milford		Х	1	Northville	Х		
Lyon	Х			Farmington Hills		Х	2
Novi	Х			Farmington	Х		
Southfield	Х			Southfield		Х	2
Royal Oak	Х			Lathrup Village	Х		
				Oak Park			
				Royal Oak		Х	1
				Berkley	Х		
				Huntington Woods	Х		
				Pleasant Ridge	Х		
				Ferndale	Х		
				Hazel Park	Х		
				Madison Heights	Х		
				Clawson	Х		
				Fenton	Х		
Total	16	5	8	Total	24	7	12

- 7. Residents of state institutions who cannot by law register in the county as electors shall be excluded from any consideration of representation.
- 8. Districts shall not be drawn to effect political advantage.

### 9. <u>Run "Summary Majority Minority", "Racial Demographics" and Voting Age Minority</u> <u>Population Report(Legal)" reports.</u>

a. At least 3 (three) districts shall be created to represent a minority population base.

District Number	Total Population	Total All Minority's Percent	Total Voter Population	Voter Black Minority
				Percent
10	47,488	69.7%	34,781	51.8%
23	47,413	61.0%	37,772	52.4%
21	47,967	58.9%	37,409	52.8%
22	47,043	57.3%	35,185	51.3%

Verified by:

Ronald J. Crank Jr.

Department: Oakland County Clerk/Register of Deeds Plat Engineering

#### If plan cannot be verified please indicate the reason and describe corrective action:

